



## COURSE DESCRIPTION CARD - SYLLABUS

Course name

Pre-diploma Seminar [S2Inf1E-IO>SEM1]

### Course

Field of study

Computing

Year/Semester

1/2

Area of study (specialization)

Software Engineering

Profile of study

general academic

Level of study

second-cycle

Course offered in

English

Form of study

full-time

Requirements

compulsory

### Number of hours

Lecture

0

Laboratory classes

0

Other (e.g. online)

0

Tutorials

0

Projects/seminars

30

### Number of credit points

2,00

### Coordinators

prof. dr hab. inż. Jerzy Nawrocki  
jerzy.nawrocki@put.poznan.pl

### Lecturers

### Prerequisites

The student should know English at least at the B2 level, be able to prepare presentation slides using PowerPoint or a similar tool and know the rudiments of the LaTeX system.

### Course objective

The course aims to prepare students for the proper (i.e., based on literature studies) selection and formulation of the diploma thesis topic. In addition, students will become familiar with the methods of conducting literature studies and selected tools.

### Course-related learning outcomes

Knowledge:

the student has an in-depth knowledge of the issues concerning his/her future thesis. (k2st\_w4)

the student knows the structure of a "structured abstract" and the protocol of a systematic literature review. (k2st\_w6)

the student has basic knowledge of intellectual property and the phenomenon of plagiarism. (k2st\_w7)

Skills:

the student is able to conduct a literature study based on a systematic literature review. (k2st\_u1)  
the student is able to select appropriate bibliographical databases and formulate queries related to the research questions. (k2s\_u2)  
the student is able to discuss in information technology topics (k2s\_u12).  
the student is able to prepare and deliver a presentation. (k2s\_u13)  
the student is able to act as a reviewer and point out possible weaknesses in the slr protocol (k2s\_u15)  
the student is able to independently acquire the knowledge needed to write a thesis. (k2st\_u16)

Social competences:

the student realizes the rapid growth of knowledge and how quickly his achievements can become obsolete. (k2st\_k1)

the student realizes the importance - from a practical point of view - of using the latest knowledge. (k2st\_k2)

the student realizes how important it is - also for himself - to share knowledge with others. (k2st\_k3)

the student realizes the consequences of plagiarism. (k2st\_k4)

## Methods for verifying learning outcomes and assessment criteria

Learning outcomes presented above are verified as follows:

The final grade will be based on the following component grades:

- \* Result of the test regarding the material presented in the lecture form
- \* Assessment of the literature study protocol
- \* Assessment of the interim report
- \* Assessment of the final report
- \* Assessment of the review of the final report
- \* Assessment of the research project statement
- \* Assessment of the chairperson role

Each of these grades will be expressed on a scale of 0-10 points, and the final grade will be based on a weighted average of the component grades.

## Programme content

Systematic Literature Studies  
Multimedia presentations - Basic principles  
Good practices in scientific discussions and reviews  
Formulating an aim of a research project  
References, citations, and bibliographic styles  
Master's thesis structure and the diploma procedure

## Course topics

Systematic Literature Reviews vs. Systematic Mapping Studies  
Protocols of Systematic Literature Studies  
Query-based literature studies  
Snowballing method  
The Covidence tool  
Threats to validity  
Checklist-based assessment of protocol's quality  
Good and bad practices of multimedia presentations  
Aim formulation based on the SMART criteria and the structured abstract concept  
BibTeX  
Types and structure of master's thesis

## Teaching methods

During the first meetings, the teacher presents the methods of literature studies and other useful techniques in the form of a lecture (multimedia presentation). The remaining meetings are devoted to multimedia presentations prepared by the students. After each student presentation, there is a discussion focused on the strengths and weaknesses of the presentation. The seminar is supported by the eKursy platform (Moodle), where instructional materials are available and through which students send their slides and other stuff.

## Bibliography

### Basic

1. American Psychological Association. (2022, March). Style and grammar guidelines. APA Style. Retrieved from <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines>
2. Brereton, P., Kitchenham, B. A., Budgen, D., Turner, M., & Khalil, M. (2007). Lessons from applying the systematic literature review process within the software engineering domain. *Journal of systems and software*, 80(4), 571-583. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2006.07.009>
3. Budgen, D., Brereton, P., Drummond, S., & Williams, N. (2018). Reporting systematic reviews: Some lessons from a tertiary study. *Information and Software Technology*, 95, 62-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2017.10.017>
4. Carrera-Rivera, A., Ochoa-Agurto, W., Larrinaga, F., & Lasa, G. (2022). How-to conduct a systematic literature review: A quick guide for computer science research. *MethodsX*, 101895. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2215016122002746>
5. Overleaf. (2023). Documentation. Retrieved from <https://www.overleaf.com/learn>
6. Overleaf. (2023). Bibliography management with bibtex. Retrieved from [https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Bibliography\\_management\\_with\\_bibtex](https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Bibliography_management_with_bibtex)
7. Oxbridge Editing. (2020, September 16). A complete guide to writing a master's thesis. Retrieved from <https://www.oxbridgeediting.co.uk/blog/a-complete-guide-to-writing-a-masters-thesis/>
8. Postgrad Solutions Ltd. (2023). The dos and don'ts of academic writing in English. Retrieved from [https://www.postgrad.com/advice/phd/dos\\_and\\_donts\\_of\\_academic\\_writing/](https://www.postgrad.com/advice/phd/dos_and_donts_of_academic_writing/)
9. Postgrad Solutions Ltd. (2023). How to write a masters dissertation or thesis: Top tips. Retrieved from [https://www.postgrad.com/advice/exams/dissertations\\_and\\_theses/top\\_tips\\_writing\\_postgraduate\\_thesis/](https://www.postgrad.com/advice/exams/dissertations_and_theses/top_tips_writing_postgraduate_thesis/)
10. Markus Püschel. (2022). How to give strong technical presentations. ETH Zürich. Retrieved from <https://ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/infk/chair-program-method/pm/documents/Education/Seminars/AS2022/Guide-PresentationsHS22.pdf>
11. Michel Theriault. (2013, November 25). 5 Principles For Making PowerPoint Slides With Impact. *Forbes*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/allbusiness/2013/11/25/7-principles-for-making-powerpoint-slides-with-impact/?sh=8679e144d038>
12. Wikipedia. (2023, June 25). PICO process. Retrieved from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PICO\\_process](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PICO_process)
13. Wohlin, C. (2014, May). Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. In *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on evaluation and assessment in software engineering* (pp. 1-10). Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2601248.2601268>

### Additional

14. Bui, Y. N. (2013). *How to write a master's thesis*. Sage Publications. Retrieved from [https://books.google.pl/books?hl=en&lr=&id=\\_bQgAQAQAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=master+thesis&ots=Oi76Mj0vgY&sig=2ghUMNTO1G8ntGfWPsEA4fM4qho&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=master%20thesis&f=false](https://books.google.pl/books?hl=en&lr=&id=_bQgAQAQAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=master+thesis&ots=Oi76Mj0vgY&sig=2ghUMNTO1G8ntGfWPsEA4fM4qho&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=master%20thesis&f=false)
15. Cardinal Stritch University Library. (2017). APA style quick reference. Retrieved from <https://library.stritch.edu/getmedia/68645fbb-f965-4ea0-a63d-14672a6c5fb7/APAStyleGuide6>
16. van Dinter, R., Tekinerdogan, B., & Catal, C. (2021). Automation of systematic literature reviews: A systematic literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 136, 106589. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2021.106589>
17. Felizardo, K. R., Mendes, E., Kalinowski, M., Souza, É. F., & Vijaykumar, N. L. (2016, September). Using forward snowballing to update systematic reviews in software engineering. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement* (pp. 1-6). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2961111.2962630>
18. Lesley Library. (2023, Jun 27). APA Format explained. Retrieved from <https://research.lesley.edu/apa-6>
19. Molléri, J. S., Petersen, K., & Mendes, E. (2020). An empirically evaluated checklist for surveys in software engineering. *Information and Software Technology*, 119, 106240. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2019.106240>
20. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *International journal of surgery*, 88, 105906. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906>
21. Petersen, K., Vakkalanka, S., & Kuzniarz, L. (2015). Guidelines for conducting systematic mapping studies in software engineering: An update. *Information and software technology*, 64, 1-18. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2015.03.007>
22. Wohlin, C., & Rainer, A. (2022). Is it a case study?—A critical analysis and guidance. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 192, 111395. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2022.111395>

## Breakdown of average student's workload

	Hours	ECTS
Total workload	50	2,00
Classes requiring direct contact with the teacher	30	1,00
Student's own work (literature studies, preparation for laboratory classes/ tutorials, preparation for tests/exam, project preparation)	20	1,00